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#### Abstract

The radius-stepping algorithm is an efficient, parallelizable algorithm for finding the shortest paths in graphs. It solved the problem in $\Delta$-Stepping algorithm, which has no known theoretical bounds for general graphs. In this paper, we describe a parallel privacy-preserving method for finding SingleSource Shortest Paths (SSSP). Our optimized method is based on the Radius-Stepping algorithm. The method is implemented on top of the Secure Multiparty Computation (SMC) Sharemind platform. We have re-shaped the radius-stepping algorithm to work on vectors representing the graph in a SIMD manner, in order to enable a fast execution using the secret-sharing based SMC protocol set of Sharemind. The results of the real implementation show an efficient method that reduced the execution time hundreds of times in comparison with a standard case of the privacy-preserving radius-stepping and $\Delta$-Stepping algorithms.


## I. Introduction

Recently, the users of the navigation apps are concerned about location privacy. As well as, the map providers give the mapping information conservatively that might contain sensitive information. This problem opens the door for many researchers to start thinking about finding the shortest paths in privacy-preserving computation considering the performance, especially for using a big graph.

Secret-sharing based secure multiparty computation (SMC) protocols often lead to the most efficient privacy-preserving applications, at least when efficiency is measured in terms of bandwidth. One of the most important challenges in sharingbased protocols is the round complexity, and hence the effects of network latency. The network latency in SMC protocol is a challenge to many researchers for reducing the round complexity of private computation in SMC platform [1], [2]. Many researchers have been solving this problem by parallel computation [3], [4]. In this work, we are interested to perform the privacy-preserving parallel computation for finding the SSSP for a private graph.

The shortest paths problem is one of the most basic problems in different applications using graph-shaped data; the problem will be more complicated in privacy-preserving manner. We have had a special interest in planar graphs, and have been looking for existing SSSP algorithms for planar graphs, of which there exist a few, to be adapted to privacypreserving computations. The linear-time algorithm for SSSP in planar graphs with a non-negative edge is proposed by Henzinger et al. [5] with time complexity $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Lipton at
al. [6], proposed an algorithm for finding the SSSP for a planar graph with arbitrary real-valued edge weights, the time complexity is $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)$. The shortest path algorithm for $n$ vertex planar graph with real-valued weights [7] is proposed with time complexity $\mathcal{O}\left(n \log ^{3} n\right)$. The $\Delta$-stepping algorithm [8] is a SSSP algorithm for arbitrary directed graphs with non-negative edges in the sequential and parallel counterparts with $\mathcal{O}(n \log n+m)$ time complexity. The shortcoming in the $\Delta$-Stepping algorithm is, that the algorithm has no known theoretical bounds on general graphs, bounds can increase $n$-times in each iteration. The Radius-Stepping algorithm is proposed in [9] to solve the bounds' problem in $\Delta$-Stepping with $\mathcal{O}(m \log n)$ time complexity. It has the best tradeoff between work and depth bounds, by using a variable instead of fixed-size increases of the radius, it has a proven bounds on the number of steps. In this work, we adapted the RadiusStepping algorithm for privacy-preserving computations by reducing the number of general iterations in the algorithm. In our work, the main idea is to use vectors to store the edges and vertices to perform the main operations of the RadiusStepping in SIMD. We use the Secure Multiparty Computation platform Sharemind [10] which is based on secret sharing over arbitrary rings, for our implementation of the RadiusStepping algorithm in both sequential and parallel fashion. Parallel computation reduces the number of iterations, which will reduce the latency among the three miners (i.e. computing parties) in the Sharemind platform while they run Sharemind protocols [11].

## II. Related Work

Recently, privacy-preserving solutions for various kinds of particular computational problems have been proposed, such as privacy-preserving minimum spanning tree [3], privacypreserving data mining [12], statistical analysis [13], [14]. Researchers have been conducting some research in privacypreserving shortest path to keep the computation secure. Ramezanian et al. [15] proposed a privacy-preserving allpairs shortest path (APSP) algorithm. The proposed algorithm is an extended version of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm and it is used in a novel protocol they proposed, that enables privacy-preserving path queries on directed graphs. The time complexity in the extended version will increase with the latency in the SMC platform. In [16], the authors proposed a new algorithm for privacy-preserving APSP and another
algorithm for the SSSP. They also proposed two new algorithms for the privacy-preserving set union. The algorithms are used in the privacy-preserving shortest path in the semi-honest module. The cryptographic version of privacy-preserving data mining is closely related to the technique in this paper. In general, the work is efficient, but the work is presented in the sequential fashion that will increase the latency in privacypreserving computations. An efficient protocol for privacypreserving shortest paths computing for navigation is proposed in [17]. The cryptographic protocol for navigation on city streets that provides privacy for both the service provider's routing data and client's location in the street.
In our work in this paper, we focus on optimizing the single-source shortest path algorithm (Radius-Stepping algorithm) and applying the same optimized algorithm in privacypreserving computations. Our protocols are compositional, i.e. we expect that the components of the graph have already been secret-shared between the computing parties of the SMC protocol. The result is likewise delivered in secret-shared manner, and can be used in subsequent steps of a larger application.

## III. Preliminaries

## A. Secure Multiparty Computation

The cryptographic techniques assure the security and the integrity of the storage and the communication between the participants, even while the adversary has taken over a fraction of them. The general-purpose model for the analysis of cryptographic protocols with strong security properties is called the framework of universal composability [18].

The framework of universal composability considers a set of interacting Turing machines running in parallel and sending data among them, including the adversarial Turing machines. The framework states when a set of Turing machines securely implements another set - this happens when any behavior observed by an environment machine while interacting with the first set can be matched by the second set. The value of the framework is in the composition theorem. A protocol $\Pi$ may securely implement an ideal functionality $\mathcal{G}$ in the $\mathcal{F}$-hybrid model. If $\Xi$ is another protocol that securely implements the functionality $\mathcal{F}$, then the composition of $\Pi$ and $\Xi$ securely implements $\mathcal{G}$.

The Arithmetic Black Box (ABB) is an ideal functionality $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A B B}}$ that performs calculations with private data. It allows parties to store the private data handed over to it, performs the operations based on users' instructions, and sends certain values back to users if a sufficient number of them request it. Let us suppose, a party sends a command store $(v)$ to the ideal functionality to do some calculation, where $v$ is some value. The functionality $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A B B}}$ receives and stores the value $v$, then assigns a fresh handle $h$ to that value by storing the pair $(h, v)$. Finally, the ideal functionality sends $h$ to all parties. To perform the computations without revealing any knowledge about the intermediate result, the ABB waits for a command (perform, op, $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}$ ) from all (or sufficiently many) computing parties. It looks up the values $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$
stored with the handles $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}$, applies the operation op on them, obtaining a value $v$, stores it under a new handle $h$, and returns $h$ to all parties. To learn a value stored under the handle $h$, all (or sufficiently many) parties send the command (declassify, $h$ ) to the ABB, which then looks up the pair ( $h, v$ ) and responds with $v$ [19]. The protocol sets that may be used to securely implement an ABB may be based on either threshold homomorphic encryption [20], garbled circuits [21], or secret sharing [10]. These implementations can offer protection against an honest-but-curious or malicious party. In our algorithms built on top of an ABB , we use the notation $\llbracket v \rrbracket$ to denote that $v$ is a value that is stored inside the ABB , and accessed only through a handle. Any operations performed with such values will take place by having commands issued to the ABB .

## B. Radius-Stepping Algorithm

Our contribution in this paper is to optimize the RadiusStepping algorithm to run efficiently with reduced time complexity. The algorithm finds the SSSP for the weighted undirected graph $\mathbf{G}$, where the graph is represented in the adjacency matrix as input to the algorithm. The RadiusStepping algorithm and $\Delta$-Stepping algorithms have the same basic structure, both algorithms are a hybrid algorithm of the Dijkstra and Bellman-Ford algorithms. The steps of the Bellman-Ford algorithm are inner operations in the Dijkstra algorithm. The Dijkstra part visits vertices in increasing distance from the source $s$ and settling each vertex $v \in V$ in G. Instead of visiting one vertex $v$ at a time, Radius-Stepping visits the vertices in steps. The algorithm increases the radius centered at vertex $s$ from $d_{i-1}$ to $d_{i}$, and all vertices $v \in$ $|V|$ in the annulus $d_{i-1}<d(s, v)<d_{i}$ will be settled. The Bellman-Ford parts ( repeat to until) performs the settling of the vertices in sub-steps. In $\Delta$-Stepping algorithm, the radius will be increased by a fixed amount in each step in the round distance $d_{i}=d_{i-1}+\Delta$. This increase can require $\Theta(n)$ substeps in the worst case. As well as, in some cases, it requires

```
Data: \(G=(V, E)\), vertex radii \(r(\cdot)\), source vertex \(s\)
Result: The graph distance \(\delta(\cdot)\) from \(s\)
begin
    \(\delta(\cdot) \leftarrow+\infty, \delta(s) \leftarrow 0\)
    foreach \(v \in N(s)\) do
        \(\delta(v) \leftarrow w(s, v), S_{0} \leftarrow\{s\}, i \leftarrow 1\)
    while \(\left|S_{i-1}\right|<|V|\) do
            \(d_{i} \leftarrow \min _{v \in V \backslash S_{i-1}}\{\delta(v)+r(v)\}\)
            repeat
                foreach \(u \in V \backslash S_{i-1}\) s.t \(\delta(u) \leq d_{i}\) do
                        foreach \(v \in N(u) \backslash S_{i-1}\) do
                        \(\delta(v) \leftarrow \min \{\delta(v), \delta(u)+w(u, v)\}\)
                        end
                end
            until no \(\delta(v) \leq d_{i}\) was updated
                \(S_{i}=\left\{v \mid \delta(v) \leq d_{i}\right\}\)
                \(i=i+1\)
            end
            return \(\delta(\cdot)\)
end
```

Algorithm 1: Radius-Stepping
$\mathcal{O}(m n)$ work because each sub-step will work on the same set of vertices and their edges. In the Radius-Stepping algorithm, this problem is solved efficiently, by proposing a new round distance $d_{i}$ in each round.

## IV. Privacy-Preserving Shortest Paths

In this section, we describe our algorithm for parallel privacy-preserving SSSP, called SIMD-RADIUS-STEPPING, and present its performance analysis. The SIMD-RadiusStepping algorithm is presented in Algorithm 2. In details, the algorithm works as follows: The input to the algorithm is a weighted, undirected graph $\mathbf{G}=(V, E)$ presented by an adjacency matrix, source vertex $s$, and the value of the radius for every vertex in the graph, given as a function $r: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$. In general, the algorithm has the same basic structure as the Radius-Stepping algorithm, but we represent the algorithm using vectors to let the algorithm perform the calculation in SIMD and in privacy-preserving manner.

```
Data: Number of vertices and edges \(n, m\)
Data: Adjacency matrix \(\llbracket \mathbf{G} \rrbracket \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}\)
Data: Vertex radii \(\llbracket \vec{r} \rrbracket \in \mathbb{N}^{n}\), source vertex \(s\)
Result: The distances \(\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket\) from \(s\)
begin
    \(\llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket=\) false \(\quad / /\) length of \(\vec{S}\) is \(n\)
    \(\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket \leftarrow \llbracket \mathbf{G}[s, \star] \rrbracket\)
    \(\llbracket S[s] \rrbracket \leftarrow\) true \(, \llbracket \delta[s] \rrbracket \leftarrow 0, \llbracket D \rrbracket \leftarrow 0\)
    repeat
                \(\llbracket \vec{\delta}^{\prime} \rrbracket \leftarrow \operatorname{Bellman}-\) Ford-Step \((n, \llbracket \mathbf{G} \rrbracket, \llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket, \llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket, \llbracket D \rrbracket)\)
```



```
                \(\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket:=\llbracket \vec{\delta}^{\prime} \rrbracket\)
                \(\llbracket \vec{S}^{\prime} \rrbracket \leftarrow(\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket \leq D): \in \operatorname{kind}(\) true, false \()\)
                \(\llbracket D^{\prime} \rrbracket \leftarrow \min (\operatorname{choose}(\llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket, \llbracket \vec{\infty} \rrbracket, \llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket+\llbracket \vec{r} \rrbracket))\)
                \(\llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket:=\operatorname{choose}\left(\llbracket B \rrbracket, \llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket, \llbracket \vec{S}^{\prime} \rrbracket\right)\)
                \(\llbracket D \rrbracket:=\operatorname{choose}\left(\llbracket B \rrbracket, \llbracket D \rrbracket, \llbracket D^{\prime} \rrbracket\right)\)
    until declassify \((\bigvee \neg \llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket)\)
    return \(\delta(\cdot)\)
end
```

Algorithm 2: SIMD-Radius-Stepping

We store the set $S$ (Alg. 1) as a vector of private Booleans $\llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket$, and the current mapping $\delta$ as a vector of private integers $\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket$. The algorithm starts by initializing the Boolean vector $\llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket$ with false-value, and the vector of graph distance $\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket$ with weights of the source vector $s$ with all vertices $v \in V$. As well as, the first element in vector $\llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket$ is the source vertex $s$ by true-value and by 0 -value, respectively. The algorithm has one repeat-until loop, started by finding the shortest path for one step by Alg. 3, until settling all vertices with radius less than $D$. The Bellman-Ford-step algorithm starts by reshaping the elements inside a vectors for $\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket$ into row and column vectors. Later, the algorithm updates the $\llbracket \overrightarrow{\delta^{\prime}} \rrbracket$ by finding the minimum values of the $\llbracket \overrightarrow{\delta_{B}} \rrbracket$ which is the shortest path for each vertex in the graph. The updating in the vector $\llbracket \overrightarrow{\delta_{B}} \rrbracket$ is by either finding the minimum weights of elements in the $\llbracket \overrightarrow{\delta_{R o w}} \rrbracket$ and the summation of the elements in $\llbracket \vec{\delta}_{C o l} \rrbracket$ with edges' weights of the graph $\llbracket \vec{G} \rrbracket$, or by getting
the elements of the $\llbracket \overrightarrow{\delta_{R o w} \rrbracket}$. The updating of the $\llbracket \overrightarrow{\delta_{B}} \rrbracket$ by the elements of the vector $\llbracket \vec{M} \rrbracket$ is based on satisfying the three conditions of bounding the number of sub-steps as presented in Alg. 3. The operations of the Bellman-Ford-Step algorithm is organized to be performed by single-instruction-multiple-data, using SIMD decreases the round complexity of performing the Bellman-Ford sub-steps. To keep tracing over the whole vertices in the graph is by applying the remain operations in the algorithm.

The private Boolean $B$ is to save the comparison result between the vector $\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket$. The vector of visited set $\llbracket \vec{S}^{\prime} \rrbracket$ in Alg. 2 represents the condition in the repeat-until in Alg.1. The vertices which are not visited yet will be stored in the vector $\llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket$ after finding the minimum of the $\llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket+\llbracket \vec{r} \rrbracket$. The last operations in the algorithm are updating the vector of set $\llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket$ and the value of $D$; the updating is based on the state of the Boolean $B$.

```
Data: Number of vertices \(n\)
Data: Adjacency matrix \(\llbracket \mathbf{G} \rrbracket \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}\)
Data: Current values of \(\llbracket \vec{S} \rrbracket, \llbracket \vec{\delta} \rrbracket, \llbracket D \rrbracket\) in Alg. 2
Result: The updated distance \(\llbracket \overrightarrow{\delta^{\prime}} \rrbracket\) from \(s\)
begin
        for every vertex \(u \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}\) do
            for every vertex \(v \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}\) do
                \(\llbracket \mathbf{S}_{\text {Row }}[u, v] \rrbracket \leftarrow \llbracket S[u] \rrbracket\)
                        \(\llbracket \mathbf{S}_{\text {Col }}[u, v] \rrbracket \downarrow \llbracket S^{2}[v] \rrbracket\)
                        \(\llbracket \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text {Row }}[u, v] \rrbracket \leftarrow \llbracket \delta[u] \rrbracket\)
                        \(\llbracket \boldsymbol{\delta}_{C o l}[u, v] \rrbracket \leftarrow \llbracket \delta[v] \rrbracket\)
            end
        end
        \(\llbracket \overrightarrow{c o n} \rrbracket \leftarrow\left(\neg \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{\text {Row }} \rrbracket\right) \&\left(\neg \llbracket \mathbf{S}_{\text {Col }} \rrbracket\right) \&\left(\llbracket \boldsymbol{\delta}_{C o l} \rrbracket \leq \llbracket D \rrbracket\right)\)
        \(\llbracket \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{B}} \rrbracket \leftarrow \operatorname{choose}\left(\llbracket \overrightarrow{c o n} \rrbracket, \min \left(\llbracket \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text {Row }} \rrbracket, \llbracket \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text {Col }} \rrbracket+\llbracket \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{T}} \rrbracket\right), \llbracket \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text {Row }} \rrbracket\right)\)
        forall \(u \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}\) do
            \(\llbracket \delta^{\prime}[u] \rrbracket \leftarrow \min \left\{\llbracket \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{B}}[u, \star] \rrbracket\right\}\)
        end
        return \(\llbracket \overrightarrow{\delta^{\prime}} \rrbracket\)
end
```


## Algorithm 3: Bellman-Ford-Step

Time Complexity is based on the radius $r$. The radius $r$ is used to determine the number of the steps and sub-steps in the calculation. The number of the iterations is based on the number of the visited vertices $(\forall S \in V)$. There are three cases of the radii $r$. In the case that $r(v)=0$, the time complexity of the algorithm is $\leqslant \mathcal{O}(n+\log m)$. If $r(v)=\infty$, the time complexity is $\leqslant \mathcal{O}(\log n)$. As well as, the time complexity is $\leqslant \mathcal{O}(\log n+\log m)$ if the $r(v)=\delta$.

Security and privacy of Alg. 2 follows from the discussions in [19]. As long as the algorithms built on top of the ABB do not declassify anything, the algorithm retains the security and privacy properties of the underlying implementation of the ABB , including its resistance against semi-honest or malicious adversaries. Alg. 2 does contain declassification statements, and their outcomes cannot be directly deduced from the public parameters of the graph. We leak the number of iterations made in Alg. 2, this number depends on a multitude of parameters, including the structure of the graph and the used radii. It is probably possible to minimize this leakage, while still

TABLE I
RUNNING TIMES (IN SECONDS) OF PRIVACY-PRESERVING SIMD-RADIUS-STEPPING ALGORITHM FOR SPARSE, DENSE AND UNWEIGHTED GRAPHS

| Weighted Graph (Sparse) |  |  |  |  | Weighted Graph (Dense) |  |  |  |  | Unweighted Graph |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | m | Serial | Parallel | Speed-up | n | m | Serial | Parallel | Speed-up | n | m | Serial | Parallel | Speed-up |
| 500 | 6k | 13062 | 203.9 | 64x | 50 | 1225 | 74.6 | 0.6 | 124x | 50 | 1225 | 71.8 | 0.2 | 359x |
| 500 | 10k | 35346 | 186.5 | 189x | 75 | 2775 | 247.7 | 1.2 | 206x | 100 | 2k | 357 | 0.7 | 510x |
| 900 | 20k | 77580 | 825 | 94x | 100 | 4950 | 593.9 | 1.5 | 395x | 200 | 19.9k | 4769 | 4.3 | 1109x |
| 1k | 40k | - | 920 | - | 300 | 44850 | 16059 | 19.4 | 827x | 500 | 20k | 26372 | 14.8 | 1781x |
| 2k | 40k | - | 10206 | - | 500 | 124.7 k | 74453 | 78.6 | 947x | 1k | 20k | - | 75.9 | - |
| 5k | 5M | - | 14.2k | - | 1k | 499.5 k | - | 188.4 | - | 5k | 12.4M | - | 2304 | - |
| 10k | 15M | - | 91.3 k | - | 10k | 49.9M | - | 48.9k | - | 10k | 49.9M | - | 9087 | - |

obtaining the benefits of iterating only as long as something is changing, by experimentally determining a good upper bound of the iterations, and always performing at least this number of iterations in Alg. 2.

## V. BENCHMARKING RESULTS

Recently, some, but not too many benchmarking results for privacy-preserving shortest path algorithms have been reported. The benchmarking of the SSSP algorithms, Dijkstra and Bellman-Ford have been reported by Aly et al. [23]. For Dijkstra's algorithm on 128 vertices (dense graph), they reported a run time around an hour, while for BellmanFord algorithm is around 8 hours on 64 vertices. As well as, they reported 20 seconds for Dijkstra's algorithm with a 64-vertex graph. The operations of oblivious RAM (ORAM) on top of the SPDZ protocol set [24] are implemented by Keller et al. [25], the protocol used to implement a privacypreserving Dijkstra's algorithm. A sparse graph with 2000 vertices implemented, running time in a couple of minutes. For a dense graph with 500 vertices, the running time is a couple of hours. The garbled circuits are used to privately evaluate Dijkstra's algorithm [26]. They reported running times of ca. 15 minutes for 100-vertex graphs (their parallel implementation handles 32 circuits at the same time on a 32core server). As well as, garbled circuits are used to evaluate Dijkstra's algorithm on sparse graphs by Liu et al. [27], they employed oblivious priority queues to increase efficiency. The result in the implementation is estimated that together with JustGarble [28], they used a graph with 1000-vertex and 3000edge, the execution time is around 20 minutes.
In this work, we have implemented the proposed algorithms on Sharemind SMC platform for both versions of the algorithm, sequential and SIMD. Sharemind benchmarks are run on a cluster of three computers connected with each other with a three-party protocol set secure against one passively corrupted party; SecreC [22] high-level language is used to write the codes of the implementations. The servers in the cluster have a 12 -core 3 GHz CPU with Hyper-Threading running Linux and 48 GB of RAM, connected by an Ethernet local area network with a link speed of 1 Gbps . The implementation of Sharemind does not make use of multiple cores. Private values are represented by additively sharing them among the three servers over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}$.

We use different types of weighted undirected graphs in our implementation, sparse and dense with varying sizes.

We also used unweighted graphs with different sizes in the implementation. We report the running time (in seconds) of the privacy-preserving SIMD-Radius-Stepping algorithm in the Table I for several sparse, dense and unweighted graphs with different sizes. The result shows that the speed-up is increased by increasing the size of the input graph, the speed-up of the SIMD-Radius-Stepping algorithm is scalable.

TABLE II
RUNNING TIMES OF SIMD-RADIUS-STEPPING FOR PLANAR-LIKE GRAPHS

| Graph | Vertex | Edge | DELTA-S | STAND-RS | SIMD-RS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\|E\|=2\|V\|$ | 20 | 40 | 2.28 | 1.62 | 0.24 |
|  | 40 | 80 | 6.84 | 8.4 | 0.54 |
|  | 80 | 160 | 89.2 | 54.6 | 4.6 |
|  | 120 | 240 | 254 | 170 | 13.4 |
| $\|E\|=2.5\|V\|$ | 20 | 50 | 5.28 | 1.74 | 0.24 |
|  | 40 | 100 | 20.7 | 9.42 | 0.84 |
|  | 80 | 200 | 113.7 | 56.7 | 5.6 |
|  | 120 | 300 | 296.1 | 178.5 | 9.48 |
|  | 20 | 80 | 10.1 | 2.28 | 0.24 |
| $\|E\|=4\|V\|$ | 40 | 160 | 30.96 | 10.7 | 0.9 |
|  | 80 | 320 | 109.2 | 66.8 | 3.78 |
|  | 120 | 480 | 360 | 192 | 9.5 |

One of the most impressive uses of our proposed algorithm is finding the privacy-preserving shortest path for dense graphs. The results show that the speed-up for parallel implementation of the dense and unwighted graph may be in hundreds of times. This is the motivation of our work, that by using our SIMD-Radius-Stepping algorithm, we can find the shortest path for a huge graphs in privacy-preserving computation in less running time in comparison with the running time of the serial version of the algorithm.
Another series of tests that we report in Table II considers graphs whose number of edges (for the given number of vertices) is similar to planar graphs. In this series, we implemented three algorithms for privacy-preserving single-source shortest path algorithms: the Radius-Stepping and $\Delta$-Stepping algorithms, and our SIMD-Radius-Stepping algorithm. There are three groups of the graphs that have been benchmarked. The groups are based on the ratio between the number of edges and the number of vertices of the graph.

Algorithm 2 has a declassification statement in a location that causes some details of the graph to be leaked through the running time of the algorithm. The running time is characterized by the time it takes to run a single iteration of that algorithm (which only depends on the number of vertices and edges of the graph), and the number of iterations the algorithm
does (which depends on the structure of the graph, as well as on the radius $\mathbf{r}$ ). In Table III, we report the average number of iterations for random weighted graphs with given number of vertices and edges, for three possible choices of the radii - either infinite, or zero, or randomly generated. The average execution time has been reported, too. We see that for these choices, the first choice consistently beats the others.

TABLE III
Running times of SIMD-Radius-Stepping with radil cases

| Graph | Size |  | $\mathbf{r}=\infty$ |  | $\mathbf{r}=0$ |  | $\mathrm{r}=\boldsymbol{r n d}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | m | Iter | Time | Iter | Time | Iter | Time |
| $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\ddot{0}}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \end{aligned}$ | 50 | 400 | 6 | 0.5 | 44 | 3.9 | 8 | 0.66 |
|  | 100 | 2k | 5 | 1.3 | 41 | 9.8 | 9 | 2.1 |
|  | 200 | 2k | 9 | 8.1 | 100 | 94.5 | 20 | 17.3 |
|  | 500 | 20k | 6 | 29.4 | 121 | 597.1 | 27 | 134.9 |
|  | 1k | 200k | 6 | 106.5 | 69 | 1266 | 19 | 451.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 50 | 1225 | 5 | 0.4 | 24 | 2.1 | 6 | 0.5 |
|  | 100 | 4950 | 5 | 1.1 | 25 | 6.1 | 8 | 1.9 |
|  | 200 | 19.9k | 5 | 4.5 | 27 | 22.8 | 8 | 8.1 |
|  | 500 | 124.7 k | 5 | 23.6 | 31 | 153.4 | 10 | 49.1 |
|  | 1k | 499.5 k | 5 | 87.8 | 38 | 690 | 15 | 256 |
|  | 50 | 150 | 8 | 0.7 | 70 | 6.2 | 10 | 0.8 |
|  | 100 | 200 | 9 | 2.3 | 165 | 40.1 | 23 | 5.8 |
|  | 100 | 300 | 9 | 2.1 | 155 | 38.9 | 21 | 5.0 |
|  | 200 | 400 | 13 | 11.1 | 380 | 276.6 | 40 | 43.6 |
|  | 200 | 600 | 11 | 9.8 | 251 | 260.5 | 41 | 35.1 |
|  | 500 | 1500 | 13 | 63.5 | 691 | 3420 | 100 | 489.6 |

## VI. Conclusion

We have presented the parallel technique of finding the SSSP in the privacy-preserving computation using SIMD as much as possible to reduce the round compliexity. The running times show that the proposed method is faster than Radius-Stepping, and $\Delta$-Stepping algorithm. In particular, our implementation of a parallel single-source shortest path is the faster, compared to previous work. Future work is studying different shortest path algorithms using SIMD.
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