Solutions for the reattempt of the 3rd test in Graphs January 15th, 2009

Exercise 1. Does there exist an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that there exist simple planar bipartite graphs G_1 and G_2 with n vertices and 2n - 4 edges, such that $G_1 \not\cong G_2$?

Answer: yes. Both $K_{2,4}$ and $K_{3,3} - e$ (the graph $K_{3,3}$ where one of the edges has been deleted) are planar and contain 6 vertices and $8 = 2 \cdot 6 - 4$ edges.

Exercise 2. For any <u>odd</u> $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the simple graphs G_n as follows:

- the set of vertices V_n of G_n is $\{1, 3, 5, \ldots, n\}$;
- two numbers $x, y \in V_n$ are connected with an edge iff gcd(x, y) > 1.

For which values of n is G_n planar?

Answer: G_n is planar iff $n \leq 25$. A planar drawing of G_{25} is depicted in Fig. 1. But the graph G_{27} contains a clique of size five; it is formed by the vertices 3, 9, 15, 21, 27.



Figure 1:

Exercise 3. The Ramsey number r(k, l) is defined as the smallest n, such that for any coloring of the edges of K_n with two colors, there exists a monochromatic copy of K_k of the first color, or a monochromatic copy of K_l of the second color. We can generalize r(k, l) as follows: for any two (simple) graphs G_1, G_2 let $r(G_1, G_2)$ be the smallest n, such that for any coloring of the edges of K_n with two colors, there is an $i \in \{1, 2\}$, such that G_i is a subgraph (not necessarily induced) of the graph made up of the edges of i-th color.

Show that if T is any tree with n vertices, then $r(K_m, T) \ge (m-1)(n-1) + 1.$

<u>Proof.</u> To show that $r(K_m, T)$ is at least (m-1)(n-1)+1 we have to show how to color the edges of $K_{(m-1)(n-1)}$ with two colors, such that it contains neither K_m of the first color nor T of the second color.

We partition the vertices of $K_{(m-1)(n-1)}$ into (m-1) parts, each containing (n-1) vertices. We color an edge (u, v) with the first color iff u and v belong to different parts. We color an edge (u, v) with the second color iff u and v belong to the same part.

The edges of the first color form the complete (m-1)-partite graph $K_{n-1,n-1,\dots,n-1}$. It contains no subgraph isomorphic to K_m . Indeed, for any m vertices, at least two of them must belong to the same part and thus the edge connecting them is not colored with the first color.

The edges of the second color form (m-1) independent copies of the graph K_{n-1} . As no connected component of this graph contains n or more vertices, it cannot contain the n-vertex connected graph T as a subgraph.

Exercise 4. Find the chromatic polynomial of the 2*n*-vertex graph G_n , depicted in Fig. 2. below.



Figure 2:

Answer: $k(k-1)(k^2-3k+3)^{n-1}$. **Lemma.** Let G be a graph, let its chromatic polynomial $P_G(k)$ be p(k). Let the graph G' be constructed from G by

- adding to it two new vertices u and v and the edge e between them;
- adding to it the edges (a, u) and (b, v), where a and b are two different vertices of G, such that the edge (a, b) is contained in G. (See Fig. 3)

Then $P_{G'}(k) = (k^2 - 3k + 3) \cdot p(k)$.

Proof of the lemma. We know that $P_{G'}(k) = P_{G'-e}(k) - P_{G'/e}(k)$. The chromatic polynomial $P_{G'-e}(k)$ is $(k-1)^2 \cdot p(k)$. Indeed, to color the vertices of G' - e, we first color the graph G, there are p(k) possibilities for that. Afterwards, we have (k-1) possible colors for the vertex u and (k-1) possible colors for the vertex v. The chromatic polynomial $P_{G'/e}(k)$ is $(k-2) \cdot p(k)$.



Figure 3:

Indeed, to color the vertices of G'/e we again start by coloring the vertices of G. After that we have one more vertex to color — the one obtained by contracting the edge e. This vertex has two neighbours — the vertices aand b. As the vertices a and b have different colors in a valid coloring of G, there are (k-2) possible colors left over for the new vertex. Finally, $(k-1)^2 - (k-2) = k^2 - 3k + 3$.

To prove the answer of the exercise, we note that the graph G_n has been obtained by applying the construction of the lemma (n-1) times to the graph K_2 . The chromatic polynomial of K_2 is k(k-1); this has to be multiplied (n-1) times by $k^2 - 3k + 3$.