# **Polynomial hierarchy**

# **Turing reducibility**

Let  $A, B \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ .

- Turing reducibility:  $A \leq_{\mathrm{T}} B$  if there is a oracle TM  $M^{(\cdot)}$ , such that  $M^B$  recognizes A.
  - ◆ I.e.  $M^B(x)$  stops for all  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  and  $M^B(x) = \text{true} \Leftrightarrow x \in A$ .
- Polynomial-time Turing reducibility:  $A \leq_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{P}} B$  if there is a oracle TM  $M^{(\cdot)}$ , such that  $M^B$  recognizes A in polynomial time.
- Nondeterministic polynomial-time Turing reducibility:  $A \leq_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{NP}} B$  if there is a oracle TM  $M^{(\cdot)}$ , such that  $M^B$  recognizes A in polynomial time.

Example:  $SAT^{c} \leq^{P}_{T} SAT$ 

#### **Recursive hierarchy**

Let  $M_1, M_2, \ldots$  be an enumeration of all oracle Turing machines.

■ Languages  $A, B \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  are Turing equivalent if  $A \leq_T B$  and  $B \leq_T A$ . Denote  $A \equiv_T B$ .

• Let [A] be the equivalence class of  $\equiv_{\mathrm{T}}$  containing A.

■ The Turing jump of a language A is

 $A' = \{i \,|\, M_i^A(i) \text{ stops}\}$ 

(generalize to sets of languages)

**Theorem.**  $A' \not\leq_{\mathrm{T}} A$ .

◆ Proof: Diagonalization. Similar to halting problem.

■ Denote  $\Sigma_0 = [\emptyset]$ ;  $\Sigma_i = \Sigma_{i-1} \cup \Sigma'_{i-1}$ . Infinite hierarchy

#### **Exact problems**

Consider the following problems

■ Given a graph G and an integer k. Does the largest clique of G have the size exactly k?

Given a propositional formula  $\varphi$ . Does there exist any smaller formula  $\varphi'$ , such that  $\varphi \equiv \varphi'$ ?

Given a set  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_m$  of formulas in CNF and a number k. Do there exist  $i_1, \ldots, i_k$ , such that  $\varphi_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_{i_k}$  is unsatisfiable?

These do not seem to be in NP. Short certificates seem hard to find. But these problems are in PSPACE.

# Classes $\Sigma_2^p$ and $\Pi_2^p$

A language  $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$  is in  $\Sigma_2^p$ , if there is a polynomial-time DTM M and a polynomial q, such that

 $x \in L \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in \{0,1\}^{q(|x|)} \forall v \in \{0,1\}^{q(|x|)} : M(x,u,v) = \mathsf{true}$ 

■ A language  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  is in  $\Pi_2^p$ , if there is a polynomial-time DTM M and a polynomial q, such that

 $x \in L \Leftrightarrow \forall u \in \{0,1\}^{q(|x|)} \exists v \in \{0,1\}^{q(|x|)} : M(x,u,v) = \mathsf{true}$ 

Clearly,  $\Sigma_2^p = \operatorname{co}\Pi_2^p$  and vice versa. NP,  $\operatorname{co}\operatorname{NP} \subseteq \Sigma_2^p \cap \Pi_2^p$ 

# **Classes** $\Sigma_k^p$ and $\Pi_k^p$

A language  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  is in  $\Sigma_k^p$ , if there is a polynomial-time DTM M and a polynomial q, such that

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\exists v_1 \in \{0,1\}^{q(|x|)} \forall v_2 \in \{0,1\}^{q(|x|)} \dots Qv_k \in \{0,1\}^{q(|x|)}}_{k \text{ quantifiers}}$$
$$M(x, v_1, \dots, v_k) = \mathsf{true}$$

A language  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  is in  $\Pi_k^p$ , if there is a polynomial-time DTM M and a polynomial q, such that

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\forall v_1 \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)} \exists v_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)} \dots Qv_k \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)}}_{k \text{ quantifiers}} :$$

$$M(x, v_1, \dots, v_k) = \text{true}$$

 $\blacksquare \mathsf{NP} = \Sigma_1^p, \mathsf{coNP} = \Pi_1^p, \ \Sigma_k^p, \Pi_k^p \subseteq \mathsf{PSPACE}.$ 

## **Polynomial hierarchy**

- $\blacksquare \mathsf{PH} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i^p.$
- As  $\Sigma_i^p \subseteq \prod_{i+1}^p$ , we also have  $\mathsf{PH} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_i^p$ .

■ Generalization of P ≠ NP and NP ≠ coNP conjectures: Polynomial hierarchy does not collapse.

• I.e. there is belief that  $\Sigma_i^p \neq \Sigma_{i+1}^p$  for all *i*.

• Actually, it is a separate conjecture for each i.

## Complete problems for $\Sigma_i^p$ and $\Pi_i^p$

Completeness according to the reduction  $\leq_{m}^{P}$ .

$$\Sigma_i \mathsf{SAT} = \{ \exists u_1 \forall u_2 \cdots Q_i u_i : \varphi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i) = \mathsf{true} \}$$
$$\Pi_i \mathsf{SAT} = \{ \forall u_1 \exists u_2 \cdots Q_i u_i : \varphi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i) = \mathsf{true} \}$$

where

- $\blacksquare \ \varphi$  is a Boolean formula
- $u_1, \ldots, u_i$  are vectors of Boolean variables
- Quantifications are alternating

**Theorem.**  $\Sigma_i$ SAT is  $\Sigma_i^p$ -complete.  $\Pi_i$ SAT is  $\Pi_i^p$ -complete.

# **Defining** $\Sigma_i^p$ and $\Pi_i^p$ through oracle TMs

**Theorem.**  $\Sigma_i^p = \mathsf{NP}^{\Sigma_{i-1}\mathsf{SAT}}$ .  $\Pi_i^p = \mathsf{co}\Sigma_i^p$ .

# Collapsing

**Theorem.** If  $\Sigma_i^p = \Pi_i^p$ , then  $\Sigma_{i+1}^p = \Sigma_i^p$ . **Corollary.** If  $\Sigma_i^p = \Pi_i^p$ , then  $\Sigma_j^p = \Sigma_i^p$  for all  $j \ge i$ .

### PH-completeness

**Theorem.** If PH has complete problems then polynomial hierarchy collapses.

**Corollary.** If PH = PSPACE then polynomial hierarchy collapses.

# $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^p$ and game-playing

Imagine a two-player game with perfect information

- ♦ A set of possible states, a starting state, possible ending states with indication who won and lost.
- ◆ For each state: possible legal moves for both players.
- ◆ Both players always know the state the game is in.

• Can the first player win in k half-moves?

 $\underbrace{\exists my move \forall opp.'s move \exists my move \dots}_{k \text{ quantifications}} I \text{ win!}$ 

**Exercise.** What is the meaning of  $\Pi_k^p$ ?

# **Alternating Turing Machines**

Transition relation similar to NTM-s.

- For simplicity assume that each configuration of *M* has exactly two possible successors.
- Each state labeled with  $\exists$  or  $\forall$ .
- Acceptance condition:
  - ♦ A configuration with state q<sub>acc</sub> leads to accepting configuration;
  - ◆ A configuration with a state labeled with ∃ leads to accepting configuration if at least one of its successors leads to accepting configuration.
  - A configuration with a state labeled with ∀ leads to accepting configuration if both of its successors lead to accepting configuration.
  - $x \in \{0,1\}^*$  is accepted if starting configuration with x leads to accepting configuration.

# Class ATIME(T), $\Sigma_i ATIME(T)$ , $\Pi_i ATIME(T)$

- $L \in \mathsf{ATIME}(T)$  if exists an ATM M and constant c, such that for all  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ :
  - All paths in the configuration graph of M, starting from the initial configuration of x, have length at most  $c \cdot T(|x|)$ ;
  - $x \in L$  iff M accepts x.

 $\blacksquare$   $L \in \Sigma_i \mathsf{TIME}(T)$ , if exist M, c satisfying the conditions above, and

- The initial state of M is labeled with  $\exists$ ;
- ♦ on all paths in the configuration graph of M, there are at most i-1 switches between  $\exists$  and  $\forall$ .
- $\blacksquare \ L \in \Pi_i \mathsf{TIME}(T), \text{ if }$

 $\blacklozenge$  ... same as above, but initial state is labeled with  $\forall$ .

## **Equivalences**

#### Theorems.

- $\blacksquare \Sigma_i^p = \bigcup_c \Sigma_i \mathsf{TIME}(\lambda n.n^c)$
- $\blacksquare \ \Pi_i^p = \bigcup_c \Pi_i \mathsf{TIME}(\lambda n.n^c)$
- $\blacksquare \bigcup_{c} \mathsf{ATIME}(\lambda n.n^{c}) = \mathsf{PSPACE}$

## **Time-space tradeoffs for** SAT

■  $L \in \mathsf{TISP}(T, S)$  if exists DTM M that accepts L in time O(T) and in space O(S)

**Theorem.** SAT  $\notin$  TISP $(\lambda n.n^{1.1}, \lambda n.n^{0.1})$ .

## Lemma on efficiency of reduction

**Lemma.** If SAT  $\in$  TISP $(\lambda n.n^{1.1}, \lambda n.n^{0.1})$  then NTIME $(\lambda n.n) \subseteq$  TISP $(\lambda n.n^{1.1} \cdot polylog(n), \lambda n.n^{0.1} \cdot polylog(n))$ .

**Claim.** If  $L \in \mathsf{NTIME}(T)$ , then L can be recognized by some oblivious NTM in time  $\lambda n.T(n) \log T(n)$ .

- **The head movement only depends on** n, not on L;
- Position of head at each step; and previous step when the head was at a certain position, can be computed in time polylog(n).

**Claim.** If L is recognized in time T by some oblivious NTM, then there exists a reduction f from L, to SAT, such that

 $\blacksquare |f(x)| \in O(T);$ 

Each bit of f(x) can be computed in time polylog(|x|).

### **Time to alternation**

**Lemma.**  $\mathsf{TISP}(n^{12}, n^2) \subseteq \Sigma_2 \mathsf{TIME}(n^8).$ 

**Proof.** M accepts x in space  $c \cdot |x|^2$  and time  $c \cdot |x|^{12}$  iff

Exist configurations  $C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_{c \cdot |x|^6}$  of M, such that

• for each 
$$i \in \{0, \dots, c \cdot |x|^6\}$$

■  $C_i$  is reachable from  $C_{i-1}$  in  $|x|^6$  steps. Also,  $C_0$  and  $C_{c \cdot |x|^6}$  are initial and final configurations.

Last check can be made in time  $|x|^6$ . The configurations take space  $|x|^8$ .

#### The Padding Argument

If  $\operatorname{CL}_1(f(n))$  and  $\operatorname{CL}_2(g(n))$  are complexity classes that are characterized by the resources (time of space) they allow to spend to the machines that accept languages belonging to these classes. The resources are measured by functions f(n) and g(n) respectively (with *O*-precision), where *n* is the input size.

*Theorem*: If  $\operatorname{CL}_1(f(n)) \subseteq \operatorname{CL}_2(g(n))$  then  $\operatorname{CL}_1(f(n^c)) \subseteq \operatorname{CL}_2(g(n^c))$  for every constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ . *Proof*: Let  $L \in \operatorname{CL}_1(f(n^c))$  and M be a machine that decides L in  $f(n^c)$ -time(or space). If c = 1, the statement is trivial. Otherwise, if  $c \geq 2$ , define a new language

$$L' = \{x \mathbf{0} \mathbf{1}^{|x|^c - |x| - 1} : x \in L\}$$

Define a new machine M'(y) that accepts iff y is in the form  $x 0 1^{|x|^c - |x| - 1}$ and M(x) = 1. Machine M' works with resources  $f(|x|^c) = f(|y|)$  and hence,

$$L' \in \operatorname{CL}_1(f(n)) \subseteq \operatorname{CL}_2(g(n))$$

Hence, there is a CL<sub>2</sub>-machine N' that decides L' in g(n)-time (or space). Finally, define a machine  $N(x) \equiv N'(x01^{|x|^c} - |x| - 1)$ , which decides L with resources

$$g(|x01^{|x|^{c}-|x|-1}|) = g(|x|^{c})$$
.

Hence,  $L \in \mathbf{CL}_2(g(|x|^c))$ .

Corollary: If NTIME $(n) \subseteq DTIME(n^{1.2})$  then NTIME $(n^{10}) \subseteq DTIME(n^{12})$ .

**Padding argument** Lecture 5 of Complexity Theory

## **Relationship on** DTIME, NTIME, $\Sigma_2$ TIME

**Lemma.** If  $NTIME(n) \subseteq DTIME(n^{1.2})$  then  $\Sigma_2 TIME(n^8) \subseteq NTIME(n^{9.6})$ .

**Proof.** Padding argument gives  $NTIME(n^8) \subseteq DTIME(n^{9.6})$ .  $L \in \Sigma_2 TIME(n^8) \Leftrightarrow$  exists DTM M working in time  $O(n^8)$ , s.t.

$$x \notin L \Leftrightarrow \forall u \in \{0,1\}^{c|x|^8} \exists v \in \{0,1\}^{c|x|^8} : M(x,u,v) = 0$$

hence exists NTM M' working in time  $O(n^8)$ , s.t.

$$x \notin L \Leftrightarrow \forall u \in \{0,1\}^{c|x|^8} : M'(x,u) = 1$$

hence exists DTM M'' working in time  $O(n^{9.6})$ , s.t.

$$x \notin L \Leftrightarrow \forall u \in \{0,1\}^{c|x|^8} : M''(x,u) = 0$$
$$x \in \mathsf{L} \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in \{0,1\}^{c|x|^8} : M''(x,u) = 1$$

hence exists NTM M''' working in time  $O(n^{9,6})$ , that recognizes L.

## **Putting it together**



## Separating PH and PSPACE

**Theorem.** There exists  $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ , such that  $\mathsf{PH}^A \neq \mathsf{PSPACE}^A$ . More generally, for each k there exist oracles, relative to which the polynomial hierarchy has exactly k levels.