

Probabilistic computation

Probabilistic computations

Consider the Turing machines M in the following form:

- It has an **input tape**;
- It has a read-only, no-left-move **randomness tape**, where each cell (up to infinity) contains either 0 or 1;
- It has internal state, working tapes,...
- It accepts through final states.

We say $\Pr[M(x) = 1] = p$ if $\Pr[M(x, \alpha) = 1 \mid \alpha \leftarrow U_\infty] = p$.

- U_∞ is the **uniform probability distribution** on $\{0, 1\}^\omega$.
 - ◆ Each bit will be either 0 or 1 with equal probability, and independently of all other bits.

Classes RP, coRP

The PTM M Monte-Carlo recognizes language L if for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$:

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 1/2$$

$$x \notin L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] = 0$$

Class RP is the set of all languages Monte-Carlo recognizable by polynomial-time PTM-s.

- Running time is polynomial wrt. the length of the first argument of M .

$L \in \text{coRP}$ if exists a poly-time PTM M , such that for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$:

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] = 1$$

$$x \notin L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq 1/2$$

Example problem in coRP

An arithmetic expression E over variables x_1, \dots, x_k is one of

- Variable x_i ;
- Constant $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- Expression $E_1 + E_2, E_1 - E_2, E_1 \cdot E_2$.

Given expression E . Is it identical to 0?

This is the **polynomial identity testing** problem. We do not know how to do it in P.

Schwartz-Zippel lemma

Theorem. Let p be a non-zero k -variable $\leq d$ -degree polynomial over integers. Let S be a finite subset of \mathbb{Z} . If we randomly uniformly pick x_1, \dots, x_k from S , then $\Pr[p(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0] \leq d/|S|$.

Proof. A $\leq d$ -degree single-variable polynomial has at most d roots. Continue by induction over the number of variables.

Algorithm for polynomial identity testing. Select a sufficiently large S . Randomly pick x_1, \dots, x_k from S . Evaluate the arithmetic expression.

Class ZPP

- Let p_i be the probability that $M(x)$ stops in exactly i steps.
- The **expected running time** of $M(x)$ is $1 \cdot p_1 + 2 \cdot p_2 + \dots$.
- $L \in \text{ZPP}$ if there exists a PTM M , such that
 - ◆ M runs in expected polynomial time;
 - ◆ If $x \in L$ then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] = 1$.
 - ◆ If $x \notin L$ then $\Pr[M(x) = 1] = 0$.
- Such M is called a **Las Vegas algorithm** for L .

$$\text{ZPP} = \text{RP} \cap \text{coRP}$$

Theorem. $\text{ZPP} = \text{RP} \cap \text{coRP}$.

Theorem (Obvious) $\text{RP} \subseteq \text{NP}$. $\text{coRP} \subseteq \text{coNP}$. $\text{P} \subseteq \text{ZPP}$.

Handling biased coins

Let the bits in the randomness tape still be independent of each other.
Let $0 < p < 1$.

- If bit 1 has probability p , then a tape where bit 1 has probability $1/2$ can still be simulated.
- If bit 1 has probability $1/2$, then a tape where bit 1 has probability p can still be simulated.
 - ◆ The bits of p must be computable in polynomial time.

Class BPP

The PTM M recognizes language L with bounded error if for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$:

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 2/3$$

$$x \notin L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq 1/3$$

Class BPP is the set of all languages recognizable by polynomial-time PTM-s with bounded error.

Chernoff bounds

Theorem.

- Let X_1, \dots, X_n be mutually independent random variables with values from $\{0, 1\}$. Let $X = X_1 + \dots + X_n$.
- Let $\mu = \mathbf{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_i]$.
- Let $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\Pr[X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{(1+\delta)}} \right)^\mu$$
$$\Pr[X \leq (1 - \delta)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1 - \delta)^{(1-\delta)}} \right)^\mu$$

Some lemmas

- If X_1, \dots, X_n are mutually independent random variables then $\mathbf{E}[\prod_{i=1}^n X_i] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_i]$.
- If X is a random variable then $\Pr[X \geq k \cdot \mathbf{E}[X]] \leq 1/k$.
(**Markov's inequality**)

Proof of Chernoff bound

Let $p_i = \Pr[X_i = 1]$. Let $t = \ln(1 + \delta)$. Let $\mathbf{P} = \Pr[X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu]$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}[e^{tX}] &= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[e^{tX_i}] = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - p_i + p_i e^t) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(p_i(e^t - 1)) \\ &= e^{\mu\delta}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \Pr[e^{tX} \geq e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}] \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[e^{tX}]}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}} \leq \frac{e^{\mu\delta}}{(1 + \delta)^{(1+\delta)\mu}}$$

Role of constants in defining RP and BPP

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, define the class BPP_ε as the set of languages L , such that exists poly-time PTM M , such that

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

$$x \notin L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq \varepsilon$$

Theorem. If $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ then $\text{BPP} = \text{BPP}_\varepsilon$.

More general ε

For any function $e : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ define the class BPP_e as the set of languages L , such that exists poly-time PTM M , such that

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq 1 - e(|x|)$$

$$x \notin L \Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq e(|x|)$$

Theorem. $\text{BPP}_{\lambda n.1/2-1/\text{poly}(n)} = \text{BPP} = \text{BPP}_{\lambda n.2^{-\text{poly}(n)}}$

Exercise. What is the corresponding result for RP?

BPP is the model of **efficient computation** if random choices are allowed.

Strict vs. expected running time

Let M be a PTM that recognizes a language L in expected polynomial time as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}x \in L &\Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq p \\x \notin L &\Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq q .\end{aligned}$$

Then for any ε , there exists a PTM M' that recognizes L in strict polynomial time as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}x \in L &\Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \geq p - \varepsilon \\x \notin L &\Leftrightarrow \Pr[M(x) = 1] \leq q + \varepsilon .\end{aligned}$$

BPP \subseteq P/poly

Theorem. BPP \subseteq P/poly.

Proof. Let $L \in \text{BPP} = \text{BPP}_{\lambda n, 2^{-2n}}$. Let M recognize L with error probability 2^{-2n} .

- For each $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $M(x, \alpha)$ returns whether $x \in L$ for a vast majority of strings α .
- Let E_x be the set of strings α where $M(x, \alpha)$ gives the wrong answer.
- There is a string $\alpha_n \notin \bigcup_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} E_x$.
- The prefix of α_n (bounded by running time of M) is a suitable **advice** for M .

Corollary. If SAT \in BPP then PH = Σ_2^p .

$$\text{BPP} \subseteq \Sigma_2^p \cap \Pi_2^p$$

Theorem. $\text{BPP} \subseteq \Sigma_2^p \cap \Pi_2^p$

Proof. Let M accept $L \in \text{BPP}$ with error probability $\lambda n \cdot 2^{-n}$. Let f be running time of M .

- For $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, let $S_x \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{f(n)}$ be the set of strings α , such that $M(x, \alpha)$ accepts.
 - ◆ $|S_x| \geq (1 - 2^{-n}) \cdot 2^{f(n)}$ or $|S_x| \leq 2^{f(n)-n}$.
- For $u \in \{0, 1\}^{f(n)}$, denote $u \oplus S = \{u \oplus \alpha \mid \alpha \in S\}$.
- Let $k = \lceil f(n)/n \rceil + 1$.
- If $|S_x| \leq 2^{f(n)-n}$ or $|S_x| \geq (1 - 2^{-n})2^{f(n)}$, then for all $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{f(n)}$:

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^k (u_j \oplus S_x) \neq \{0, 1\}^{f(n)}.$$
- $x \in L \Leftrightarrow \exists u_1, \dots, u_k \forall \alpha : \bigvee M(x, \alpha \oplus u_j)$. A Σ_2 -procedure.

The classes RL and BPL

- Same as RP and BPP, but for log-space, not poly-time.
- RL is noteworthy for being known to contain the reachability problem in **undirected** graphs.
 - ◆ A couple of years ago, Omer Reingold showed that undirected reachability is in L.