

Cryptology I exam

15. january 2008

1. We could try to develop a signature scheme based on the security of the knapsack problem. Let n be the length of the signed messages ($n \approx 200$). Let p be an n -bit prime and let $E = (e_{ij})$ be a matrix of size $n \times 2n$, where $e_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ and the left submatrix of size $n \times n$ is invertible in \mathbb{Z}_p . Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be such that $2^{i-1} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{2n} e_{ij} a_j \pmod{p}$ holds for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ (note that this determines them uniquely) and let a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{2n} be random n -bit numbers. The verification key is $(n, p, a_1, \dots, a_{2n})$ and the signing key is E .

The signature of a message $m = b_1 \cdots b_n$ is a string of numbers $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{2n})$ where $\varepsilon_j = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ij} b_i$ ($1 \leq j \leq 2n$). If we are given a message $b_1 \cdots b_n$ and a signature $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{2n})$, the signature is accepted iff $0 \leq \varepsilon_i \leq n$ for all i and $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i 2^{i-1} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{2n} \varepsilon_j a_j \pmod{p}$.

Show that the given signature scheme is functional. Why isn't it secure?

2. Let E be the encryption function of some block cipher, so $E_a(b)$ encrypts the plaintext b with the key a . Let the length of both keys and plaintexts be n bits. Let us consider a compression function $h(x_1, x_2) = E_{x_1 \oplus x_2}(x_2) \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2$, where x_1 and x_2 are bitstrings of length b . Show how to find collisions for h if we can call both E and the decryption function D corresponding to it on all the arguments of our choice.
3. Let \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} be random variables over the ring \mathbb{Z}_n and let $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y}$. Show that $H(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}) = H(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})$ and that if \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are independent then $H(\mathbf{X}) \leq H(\mathbf{Z})$.
4. What are Zero-knowledge proofs?
5. What does it mean for a block cipher to be pseudorandom. Why do we get a cryptosystem semantically secure against chosen plaintext attacks if we use a pseudorandom permutation in the CTR-mode?
6. Let n be some 1024-bit RSA modulus and let $e = 3$ be the public exponent. Assume that the secret exponent d is unknown. Let c be an RSA cryptotext created with the public key (n, e) . How to find the plaintext m corresponding to c if we know that $1 \leq m \leq 10^{40}$?

Exam makes up one third of the final grade.

All the exam problems are of equal weight.